

What It Means for a Qubit to Tick

Project Quantum clock synchronization (QCS)

Document Document 3.1

Author: mujirin

Verifier: Not verified

Downloaded: June 14, 2026 05:14 KST

Status Working

What It Means for a Qubit to Tick

The phrase "ticking qubits" is a compact way of saying that a two-level quantum system can function as a clock because its relative phase changes predictably in time. In the parent document, the phrase appears at the point where classical Eddington slow-clock transport is being translated into a quantum setting. A transported wristwatch is replaced by a transported quantum system, and the "hand of the clock" is no longer a visible pointer but the phase of a qubit

This is directly supported by the parent document's later formula

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{-iE_0 t/\hbar}|0\rangle + e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar}|1\rangle) \quad (2)$$

where the phase factor  $e^{-iE_0 t/\hbar}$  is what "ticks." The word should not be read literally. A ticking qubit does not emit regular clicks, nor does it contain a tiny mechanical oscillator. It is "ticking" because, relative to a chosen phase convention or external clock reference, the quantum state rotates at a known angular frequency  $\omega$

The Smallest Clock: A Two-Level System

A qubit is a quantum system with two distinguishable basis states, usually written as  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ . For clock synchronization, these states are usually imagined as two energy eigenstates of a nondegenerate Hamiltonian. "Nondegenerate" means that the two states have different energies. If their energies are  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  then the energy difference

$$\Delta E = E_1 - E_0$$

sets an angular frequency

$$\omega = (\Delta E)/\hbar$$

where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant. This is the basic quantum connection between energy splitting and time evolution.

If the qubit is placed entirely in one energy eigenstate, say  $|0\rangle$ , then it does not serve as a useful clock by itself. The state may acquire a phase, but that phase is global and cannot be observed directly. The clock-like behavior appears when the qubit is prepared in a superposition of the two energy levels:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \quad (2)$$

Under the Hamiltonian evolution, the two components accumulate different phases because they have different energies. Ignoring an overall global phase, the state becomes

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{-iE_0 t/\hbar}|0\rangle + e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar}|1\rangle) \quad (2)$$

The important quantity is not the absolute phase of the whole state, but the relative phase between  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ . That relative phase is  $\omega t$ , modulo  $2\pi$ . This is the "tick."

A useful mental picture is the Bloch sphere. A qubit superposition can be represented as an arrow on a sphere. If  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  are the north and south poles, then the equal superposition lies on the equator. Time evolution under an energy splitting causes that equatorial arrow to rotate around the vertical axis. The angular speed of rotation is  $\omega$ . The rotating arrow is the quantum analogue of a clock hand.

Why the Tick Is a Phase, Not a Readout

The parent document's use of "ticking qubits" is especially important because it prevents a misleading classical picture. A classical wristwatch can often be read directly: one looks at the dial and sees where the hand is. A qubit cannot be read that way. Quantum measurement is probabilistic, and a single measurement generally gives only one bit-like outcome.

Suppose Bob receives a qubit prepared by Alice. If Alice's clock and Bob's clock are offset by a time  $\Delta t$  then the phase Bob assigns to the qubit is shifted by

$$\phi = \omega t$$

Here  $\phi$  is the phase difference Bob is trying to infer,  $\omega$  is the known angular frequency of the qubit's transition, and  $t$  is the unknown clock offset. This equation is the core reason the qubit can be used for synchronization: a time offset becomes a measurable phase offset.

But Bob does not observe  $\phi$  directly. Instead, he chooses a measurement basis that converts phase into probabilities. For example, if Bob measures in an interference basis related to

$$|+\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

then an idealized probability may take the form

$$P(+)=\frac{1+\cos(\phi)}{2}.$$

This resembles the logic of Ramsey interferometry, where phase accumulated between two separated interactions is inferred from population measurements [Ramsey 1950]. The qubit's "tick" is therefore operationally real only through repeated preparations and measurements. One qubit gives a random outcome; many identically prepared ticking qubits give statistics from which the phase can be estimated.

#### The Role of a Reference Clock

A subtle but essential point is that the phase of a ticking qubit is not meaningful in isolation. Saying that the qubit has phase  $e^{i\phi}$  assumes some time coordinate or phase reference. In the parent document, Alice prepares the qubit "using her local clock as the phase reference," and Bob measures it "relative to his own clock." That is precisely what makes the qubit useful for synchronization.

If Alice and Bob already agreed perfectly on time, Bob would know exactly what phase to expect when the qubit arrives, apart from known transport effects. If Bob's clock is ahead or behind Alice's, then his local oscillator defines a different phase convention, and the qubit appears shifted. The qubit is not carrying an absolute timestamp written into nature. It is carrying Alice's phase reference, which Bob compares with his own.

This is why the phrase "ticking qubit" should be understood relationally. A qubit ticks relative to a Hamiltonian and a reference frame. The parent document's interpretation that the qubit carries a coherent phase reference from Alice to Bob is a careful way to state this.

#### Why Transport Must Preserve Coherence

For a ticking qubit to remain useful, the superposition must survive transport. The coherence between  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  is what allows the relative phase to be observed. If environmental noise destroys that coherence, the qubit no longer has a well-defined phase relation. In density-matrix language, decoherence suppresses the off-diagonal terms that encode the phase. The state then behaves more like a classical mixture of  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  than like a rotating clock hand.

This matters for the "Eddington" part of the parent document. Classical Eddington slow-clock transport assumes that a physical clock can be moved slowly enough that relativistic transport errors become negligible. In the quantum analogue, slow or adiabatic transport has an additional role